

# Attractors: A Strategy to Foster Car Substitution

Dr. Juan Salamanca

Assistant Professor. School of art and design. University of Illinois

## Dr. Maximilian Schrapel

Researcher in the group Cooperative Autonomous Systems. Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany.

September 23-28, 2024





## **Research context**

**Urban attractors in micromobility:** A case of design for social viscosity applied in two-wheeled electric transportation.







# Design challenge

- Elevate the riding experience of cyclist to levels of safety and comfort similar to those car drivers enjoy.
  - Protecting cyclists by **riding in swarms**
  - **Prioritizing circulation** for swarms with critical mass
- Reduce CO2 emissions by facilitating car substitution in urban settings:
  - E-bikes could **substitute 11-26% of car rides** in the United States.
  - 15% e-bike share of the total number of trips per day could amount to a **reduction of 11% of Portland's transportation emissions** (921 metric tons of CO2 per day).
  - Impact of mode substitution is estimated at 9.3 miles/vehicle traveled per day in the United States.

Social Viscosity



# **Urban Attractors**

- An urban attractor is a centrally-controlled global position that travels at an adaptive speed on bicycle paths and roads.
- Benefits:
  - Prioritized mobility
  - Increased safety
  - Elevated riding experience
  - Multimodal transit coordination





## System architecture





## **URBAN MOBILITY ATTRACTORS**

Broadcast and chase mobility attractors anywhere

#### For traffic controllers

Draw routes, set mobility speeds, and broadcast attractor coordinates

#### Route maker





#### For two-wheeled riders

Chase attractors and understand mobility patterns

#### Attractor follower

streets using your phone

Flocking



#### Dashboard

attractor and followers



Social Viscosity

System of applications











# **Research study**

- To what extent can cyclists converge around the attractors?
- Does assistant pedaling affect cyclists' response to converging signals?





# **Study conditions**

Independent variable:

- → Proximity maintenance
- $\rightarrow$  Time to segregation

## **Dependent variable:**

→ Type of bicycle: conventional or assisted

## **Participant selection:**

- $\rightarrow$  7 Groups of 8 individuals, N= 56
- → 32 male, 24 female, average age 28.







# 1. General behavior of cyclists





#### Distribution of acceleration events over the duration of the test

**Conventional Bicycle** 





# 2. Analysis of time to congregation

Swarm Density Hierarchical clustering, method complete (i.e. max distance between clusters).





#### Journey density 01067-01068\_A Two attractors running at 5.3 and 5.6 m/s



The pink line represents the gap between attractors. The cyan line is the average distance between cyclist Kernel density estimation with default values



Distance in meters

Distance in meters



Result of clustering analysis of paired sessions 01067-01068\_A Data from the morning of 06\_15\_2024





# Discussion

### To what extent can cyclists converge around the attractors?

- Cyclists can converge around attractors and differentiate them when they are at least 45 meters apart

### Does assistant pedaling affect cyclists' response to converging signals?

- Assisted bicycles help riders to achieve accurate proximities to the attractors
- Riders with powerful engines might tend to overshoot

### Positive effects of impromptu grouping

- De-individuation, formation of common group identity.
- Interdependence and connectedness. Wiltermouth & Heath (2009)
- Compliance. Wiltermouth (2012)

### Undesired effects of impromptu grouping

- De-individuation.
- Biasing
- Increases risk of collision

Design for







Design for Social Viscosity lab

smartartifact.com

jsal@illinois.edu

# **I** ILLINOIS

Acknowledgement: Part of this research was done with the support of VEO micromobility.